

ISSN 0123-3033 e- 2027-8284

Concentrations modeling of sulfur dioxide pollutant emitted by two industrial boilers in Santiago de Cali (Colombia)

Modelación de las concentraciones del contaminante dióxido de azufre emitido por dos calderas industriales en Santiago de Cali (Colombia)

Gisell M. Jiménez-Ramírez¹ Deisy N. Castillo-Torres² Yohén Cuéllar-Álvarez¹

¹Universidad Francisco de Paula Santander, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y del Ambiente, Cúcuta, Colombia.

Abstract

This article deals with modeling sulfur dioxide (SO2) concentrations emitted by two industries in Santiago de Cali. These industries use different fuel types in their boilers: Boiler 1 uses Fuel Oil, and Boiler 2 uses Diesel. To evaluate and compare the behavior of SO2 concentrations, the substitution of these fuels by natural gas is proposed. Using Aermod View, this pollutant's dispersion was modeled and obtained that the maximum SO2 concentration from the boilers with Fuel Oil and Diesel was 1440.32 μ g/m3 for an exposure time of one hour. For a 24-hour exposure time, the SO2 concentration reached 178.56 μ g/m3. These levels exceed the limits established in resolution 2254 of 2017, where the maximum permissible value for one hour is 100 μ g/m3, and for 24 hours is 50 μ g/m3. In contrast, when using Natural Gas as fuel, it is observed that the concentrations are considerably lower. The maximum concentration is 0.74 μ g/m3 for a one-hour exposure time, and for a 24-hour exposure time, 0.04 μ g/m3. These results comply with current regulations. It can be concluded that natural gas is a more favorable alternative, generating significantly lower SO2 concentrations. This change in the fuel type will favor compliance with environmental regulations and effectively contribute to reducing SO2 concentration in the air.

Resumen

En el presente artículo aborda la modelación de las concentraciones de Dióxido de Azufre (SO2) emitidas por dos industrias ubicadas en la ciudad Santiago de Cali. Estas industrias emplean distintos tipos de combustible en sus calderas: la caldera 1 utiliza Fuel Oil y la caldera 2 utiliza Diésel. Con el objetivo de evaluar y comparar el comportamiento de las concentraciones de SO2, se propone la sustitución de estos combustibles por Gas natural. Mediante el uso de Aermod View se realizó la modelación de la dispersión de este contaminante y se obtuvo que para un tiempo de exposición de una hora la concentración máxima SO2 proveniente de las calderas con Fuel Oil y Diésel fue de 1440.32 µg/m3. Para un tiempo de exposición de 24 horas se alcanzó una concentración de SO2 de 178.56 µg/m3. Estos niveles, exceden los límites establecidos en la resolución 2254 de 2017, donde el valor máximo permisible para una hora es 100 µg/m3 y para 24 horas es 50 µg/m3. En contraste al usar Gas natural como combustible se observa que las concentraciones son considerablemente más bajas. Para un tiempo de exposición de una hora la máxima concentración es de 0.74 µg/m3 y para un tiempo de exposición de 24 horas, de 0.04 µg/m3. Estos resultados cumplen con la normatividad vigente. Se puede concluir que el Gas natural se presenta como una alternativa más favorable, generando concentraciones significativamente menores de SO2. Este cambio en el tipo de combustible no solo favorecerá el cumplimiento de las normativas ambientales, sino que también contribuirá de manera efectiva a la reducción de la concentración de SO2 en el aire.

Keywords: air quality, Air pollution, Dispersion, Emission spatialization, Aermod View.

Palabras clave: calidad del aire, Contaminación atmosférica, Dispersión, Espacialización de las emisiones, Aermod View.

How to cite?

Jiménez-Ramírez, G.M., Castillo-Torres, D.N., Cuéllar-Álvarez, Y. Concentrations modeling of sulfur dioxide pollutant emitted by two industrial boilers in Santiago de Cali (Colombia). Ingeniería y Competitividad, 2024, 26(1): e-20713337.

https://doi.org/10.25100/iyc.v26i1.13337

Recibido: 08-11-23 Aceptado: 02-26-2024

Correspondencia:

gisellmercedesjr@ufps.edu.co

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike4.0 International License.



Conflict of interest: none declared



Why was it carried out?

To determine the most suitable fuel for implementation in industries, with the objective of contributing positively to the reduction of atmospheric emissions and minimizing environmental impact.

What were the most relevant results?

It has been shown that Fuel Oil and Diesel fuels generate a higher concentration of Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) reaching a maximum concentration of 1440.32 μ g/m3 for an exposure time of one hour. Likewise, its maximum concentration for a 24-hour exposure time is 178.56 μ g/m3. However, when the fuel is changed to Natural Gas, these concentrations decrease significantly. For a one-hour exposure time the maximum concentration is 0.74 μ g/m3 and for a 24-hour exposure time it reaches a maximum concentration of 0.04 μ g/m3.

What do these results provide?

Its contribution is of great significance because it is evident that fuels contain a high percentage of sulfur, which generates SO2 emissions, affecting both the environment and people's health. In addition, it is highlighted that the change of fuel to Natural Gas is ideal to be implemented by the industries, contributing in this way to the reduction of SO2 emissions.





Introduction

Air pollution has a high environmental impact (1) and severe consequences for ecosystems (1) and human health (1). It can cause cerebrovascular diseases, respiratory infections, allergies, and lung cancer (1). Many diseases can cause premature death due to exposure to this pollution, even at low concentrations (1).

The sources of pollution that cause these problems are diverse. One of the main ones is the burning of fossil fuels that are used as a source of energy for the industrial, transportation, and construction sectors (1). All this has led to increased emissions, a major problem that demands society's attention (1). Globally, it has caused around 7 million deaths each year (2). In addition, according to the National Planning Department (DNP), the costs of deaths and diseases related to air quality amounted to 12.3 billion pesos, representing 1.5% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2015, associated with about 8,000 deaths (2).

Among the primary air pollutants are gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxides (NOx), ozone (O₃), and carbon monoxide, in addition to particulate matter ($PM_{2.5'}$ $PM_{10'}$ and PST) (3). These pollutants are transported (4) and carried by winds over long distances (5), mainly due to atmospheric stability, which depends on the radiative balance and wind speed in the area (4). Several studies have considered the relationship between increased immission levels and the incidence of respiratory diseases (6). In Colombia, Resolution 2254 of 2017 establishes air quality standards to ensure the population's well-being and reduce the risk to human health due to the degree of exposure present (7).

Colombia's highest air pollution levels occur in urban areas and major industrial sectors, where approximately 74% of the population lives. These pollutants come mainly from fossil fuels used by mobile, stationary, or area sources. Forty-one percent of Colombia's total emissions are located in Bogotá, Medellín, and Cali (8).

Santiago de Cali is one of the main cities in the country and has several industries that contribute to generating atmospheric emissions. Some of the fuels commonly used in these industries emit sulfur dioxide (SO2), which reacts with photochemical oxidants in the atmosphere to form sulfur trioxide, which combines with water to form sulfuric acid and sulfate particles that contribute to the process of generating acid rain (9).

The present study focuses on the city of Santiago de Cali, considering four receptors to model the concentrations of the pollutant sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emitted by two industrial boilers. It compares the variation in concentrations due to the substitution of liquid fuels (Fuel Oil and Diesel) for natural gas. Boiler 1 belongs to an industry dedicated to manufacturing and dyeing textile garments, while boiler two is located in an industry that performs waste incineration processes (10).

Methodology

The study area, the scenarios considered, the location of the receptors, and the parameters used for modeling the dispersion of the pollutant are detailed below.

Study area

Cali, the capital of the Valle del Cauca department, is in the country's southwestern corner. It comprises 22 municipalities and 15 districts, has an average altitude of 1,000 meters above sea level, and covers an area of 619 km² (11). The air quality monitoring system of Santiago de Cali has nine fixed monitoring stations that measure criteria pollutants, three fixed stations, and one mobile unit for measuring environmental noise (12).

Resolution 2254 of 2017 establishes the maximum permissible values for the concentration of criteria pollutants, as detailed in Table 1.

Pollutant	Maximum permissible level µg.m³	Exposure time
	50	Annual
PIVI ₁₀	75	24 hours
	25	Annual
PIVI _{2.5}	37	24 hours
50	50	24 hours
SO ₂	100	1 hour
	60	Annual
NU ₂	200	1 hour
	Source: Adapted from (7)	

Table 1. Maximum allowable criteria pollutants.

Table 2 describes the three fuels of interest: Fuel Oil, Diesel, and Natural Gas.

 Table 2. Characteristics of the different fuels.

Fuel	Description	Calorific Sulfur			
ruei	Description	Power	Content (S)		
Fuel Oil	It is produced in the fractionated refining of petroleum. This fuel is very dark and black. It is mainly used in power plants, furnaces, and boilers; it can be refined to produce asphalt and lubricants (13).	150204 BTU/Gal	1.8%		
Diesel	A hydrocarbon is composed of paraffins and is mainly used in diesel engines and as boiler fuel (13). It generates high heat temperatures; this fuel cannot be exposed to low temperatures because the paraffins could solidify (13).	140000 BTU/Gal	0.0015%		



	Natural gas is a gaseous mixture of light		
	hydrocarbons whose main components		
Natural	are methane, ethane, and, to a lesser	136.35	1.4364E-6
Gas	extent, propane, butane, pentane, and various heavy hydrocarbons (14).	BTU/Gal	Lb/ft ³

Source: Adapted from (14).

Annex 1 Modeling domain, receiver locations, and modeling sources details.

Scenarios

This study has two scenarios. Scenario 1 considers the two industrial boilers of interest with their respective fuels: fuel oil in boiler 1 and diesel in boiler 2. In scenario 2, the fuel is changed to Natural Gas. Table 3 shows the chimney characteristics of each selected stationary point source. If the sources exist, these parameters are obtained through isokinetic sampling; if they are projected, they are established in the design of the stacks.

Parameters	Boiler 1	Boiler 2
Fuel	Fuel Oil	Diesel
Location	Carrera 1 No. 39 – 55 Comuna 4	Av. 4N #7N-81 Comuna2
UTM Location	X: 331909.52 m	X: 329243.78 m
	Y: 383386.48 m	Y: 381987.57 m
Chimney diameter	0.60 m	0.30 m
Chimney height	15.0 m	44.8 m
Exit area	3.043 ft ²	0.761 ft ²
Boiler Power	350 BHP	150 psi
Boiler Capacity	17.700 lb/hr	
Average gas velocity in the chimney	19.06 ft/S	8.77 ft/S
Exhaust gas temperature	387.594 K	313.15 K
	Source: Adapted from (15).	

Table 3. Characteristics of the two fixed point sources.

Location of the receptors

Four receptors were selected in the city of Santiago de Cali, considering the main factors: the points with a high influx of people or if there is a vulnerable population that is more likely to present health effects due to exposure to SO_2 pollutant emissions. Figure 1 shows the location of the two fixed point sources and the receptors.





Figure 1. Location of sources and receptors, Santiago de Cali – Google Earth. Table 4 shows the selected receptors with their respective geographic coordinates.

Points	Receptors	X (m)	Y (m)
1	San Juan de Dios Hospital	330288.84	381999.86
2	Versalles Neighborhood Park	330411.83	383040.39
3	La Caña Aquapark	332512.31	382040.27
4	ICBF Northeastern Zonal Center	331876.62	383500.37

Table 4. Location of the receptors in UTM Zone 18N.

Source: Google Earth.

Annex 1 shows the grid of receptors to estimate the field of concentrations.

Modeling using Aermod View

The AERMOD VIEW software is a stationary Gaussian plume model (16) used to determine the dispersion of pollutants from existing emission sources or to model the dispersion of pollutants (17).

The following must be taken into account for modeling:

Atmospheric emissions

The sulfur dioxide (SO_2) emissions inventory is carried out using the emission factors of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (AP-42) Chapter 1 for the different fuels of interest, such as fuel oil, diesel, and natural gas. Table 3 shows the parameters for the design of the stacks.

The SO₂ emission calculation uses the formula E = Emission, FE = Emission factor, and P = Boiler power.

 $\mathbf{E} = FE \times P(1)$

The emission calculations for each of the scenarios are made.

Ingeniería y Competitividad, 2024 vol 26(1) e-20713337/ enero-abril

Scenario 1

The following is a detailed description of the calculations made to obtain the emission of each of the industrial boilers.

Boiler 1

Considering the SO₂ Emission Factor, according to AP-42 Fuel Oil Combustion Boilers <100 million BTU/h N°6. The calorific value is 150204 BTU/Gal, and Sulfur (S) is 1.8%.

 $FE: 157S \frac{Lb}{1000 \ gal} = 157 \times 1.8 \frac{Lb}{1000 \ gal}$ $FE: 283 \frac{Lb}{1000 \ gal} \times \frac{gal}{150204 \ BTU} \times \frac{3412.14 \ BTU}{1 \ Kw-h} \times \frac{1h}{3600 \ S} \times \frac{453.592 \ g}{1 \ Lb}$ $FE: 8.1002 \times 10^{h} - 4 \frac{g}{1 \ Kw-s}$ $P: 3433.33 \ Kw$ The SO, emission is calculated. $E: FE \times P = 8.1002 \times 10^{h} - 4 \frac{g}{1 \ Kw-s} \times 3433.33 \ Kw$ $F_{1} \geq 78^{g}$

E:2.78 g/s

In this way, each of the corresponding calculations for boiler 2 is performed, considering that the calorific value is 140000 BTU/Gal and the sulfur percentage is 0.0015% (18).

Scenario 2

In scenario 2, a mass balance is performed to determine the emission factor for the two industrial boilers operating with Natural Gas, considering that this fuel has a calorific value of 136.35 BTU/Gal and a sulfur content of 1.4364×10^{-6} Lb/ft³.

Mass Balance

$$FE: Sulfur Content \times 2_{(2)}$$

$$FE: 1.4364 \times 10^{h} - 6\frac{Lb}{ft3} \times 2 = 2.87 \times 10^{h} - 6\frac{Lb}{ft3}$$

$$FE: 2.87 \times 10^{h}$$

$$- 6\frac{Lb}{ft3} \times \frac{1 ft3}{7.48 \ Gal} \times \frac{1 \ Gal}{136.35 \ BTU} \times \frac{3412.14 \ BTU}{1 \ Kw - h} \times \frac{1h}{3600 \ S}$$

$$\times \frac{453.592 \ g}{1 \ Lb}$$

$$FE: 1.2108 \times 10^{h} - 6\frac{g}{1 \ Kw - s}$$

As mentioned above, using equation (1), the SO_2 emission is calculated from the emission factor and the activity. Table 5 specifies the emission factors (g/KW-s), activity (kW), and SO_2 emission (g/s) for the boilers in the two scenarios.





Scenario	ID	Emission Factor (g/ KW-s)	Activity (KW)	SO ₂ (g/s)
1	CAL1	8.10E-04	3433.33	2.78E+00
I	CAL2	6.91E-07	490.48	4.16E-03
2	CAL3	1.21E-06	3433.33	3.39E-04
۷	CAL4	1.21E-06	490.48	5.94E-04

Table 5. SO₂ emissions for the two scenarios

Source: Authors.

Meteorology

For the present study, meteorology for the year 2022, obtained from WRF (18), is used from January 1 to December 31. Figure 2 shows the wind rose of the city of Santiago de Cali, where it is possible to observe winds with predominant directions towards the southeast, mainly due to the winds coming from the country's interior, with a speed between 0.50 to 2.10 m/s. Likewise, winds predominate towards the northwest due to the winds coming from the Pacific, with speeds of 3.60 to 5.70 m/s. Therefore, the wind direction is essential to estimate the dispersion of pollutants. Annex 2 shows the surface and height (profile) meteorological data for 2022 used in the modeling.



Figure 2. Wind rose

Topography

The Digital Elevation Model (DEM) dataset known as GTOPO30/ SRTM30, which was obtained by collecting information during the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) developed in February 2000 with the GTOPO30 dataset provided by the U.S. Geological Survey, is used. (19).

Results and discusión

Below are the modeling results for the two scenarios, for one hour and 24 hours, according to the Colombian air quality standard.



Scenario 1

Figure 3 shows the results of the one-hour modeling; its highest concentration is 495.09 μ g/m³, which does not comply with the maximum permissible limit values established in resolution 2254 of 2017, given that for 1 hour the maximum permissible value is 100 μ g/m³. In Figure 4, the 24-hour modeling can reach a maximum concentration of 26.92 μ g/m³, complying with the current regulations establishing for 24 hours a maximum permissible value of 50 μ g/m³. For both models, the point of highest concentration is the residential areas of commune 4 (coordinates 383344.56N; 331663.4E). It should be noted that boiler 2 uses diesel as fuel; its SO₂ concentration is within the permissible values, mainly due to the lower percentage of sulfur compared to fuel oil. It should be mentioned that in the case of diesel, the reduction is 99.67% between 1999 and 2023 (20), contributing to the reduction of SO₂ emissions.



Figure 3. Modeling of SO₂ for 1 Hour.

Figure 4 Modeling of SO₂ for 24 Hours.

Scenario 2

Figure 5 shows that the one-hour modeling reaches its highest concentration of $0.74 \ \mu g/m^3$, and Figure 6 shows the 24-hour modeling, with a maximum concentration of $0.04 \ \mu g/m^3$; this scenario complies with current regulations. For both modeling scenarios, the point of highest concentration is the residential areas of commune 4 (coordinates 383344.56N; 331663.4E).



Figure 5. Modeling of SO₂ for 1 Hour.

Figure 6. Modeling of SO_2 for 24 Hours.

Comparison of the Receptors

Figures 7 and 8 compare the scenarios depending on the exposure time according to the receptors.

The receptor that presents the most significant impact due to SO_2 emissions is the Colombian Institute for Family Welfare (ICBF). In scenario 1, the maximum permissible limits are not met according to current regulations for both models; for 1 hour, it reaches a concentration of 1440.32 µg/m³, and for 24 hours, it reaches a concentration of 178.56 µg/m³. This result affects the sector's population because they are mainly children and are more affected by the harmful effects of exposure to high concentrations of SO₂ with certain respiratory diseases for the health of everyone. Scenario 2 complies with the maximum permissible limit values according to current regulations; for both models, for 1 hour, it reaches a concentration of 2.15 µg/m³, and for 24 hours, it reaches a concentration of 0.27 µg/m³. Therefore, industries must implement a fuel that generates lower emissions, such as natural gas, which reduces the concentration of SO₂ emissions, positively impacting the environment and the population's health.

Several studies indicate that natural gas is an ideal fuel for boilers and furnaces, among other uses. It contributes to efficient production processes compared to other types of fuels, varying according to the industrial sector. An example is the textile sector, in which energy consumption decreases, thus reducing production costs (21).



Figures 9 and 10 show the percentage difference between the two scenarios according to the SO_2 modeling for 1 hour and 24 hours

10/15







Figure 9. Percentage difference of SO₂ for 1 Hour.

Figure 10. Percentage difference of SO₂ for 24 Hours.

Validation of the modeling results is essential for comparison with the data measured by the monitoring stations. In this case, due to the scope of the study, such validation was not carried out; however, the need to perform this analysis is emphasized.

Conclusions

In this work, the evaluation and modeling of SO_2 concentration was performed for two industries that use (Fuel Oil and Diesel) as boiler fuels. It was found that the fuel oil generates higher concentrations of SO_2 , which are outside the maximum permissible values established by resolution 2254 of 2017. Therefore, a comparison was made through modeling with the change of fuel to natural gas for the two industries, where it was evidenced that this generates lower concentrations of SO_2 , one of the options that positively impacts the environment. Therefore, it is recommended that this fuel change be made and thus contribute to improving urban air quality. The Aermod View software identifies the areas with the highest concentration of SO_2 , not only in the receptors selected for this study but also in other points. For example, the residential area of Comuna 4 in the city has a concentration of 495.09 µg/m³, which does not comply with resolution 2254 of 2017.

It is crucial that industries use liquid fuels with low sulfur content and implement programs to protect air quality, thus contributing to reducing atmospheric emission concentrations. The validation of the modeling is crucial for the effective comparison with the data obtained by the monitoring stations; this study did not perform such validation due to the scope's limitations, which are of great importance to perform in future research.

References

1. Valencia Zapata LM. Variation of atmospheric emissions generated by fixed sources within the jurisdiction of the Regional Autonomous Corporation of the Black River and Nare Basins - CORNARE: A strategy for efficient control and monitoring. 2019;

2. Grisales Vargas SC. Guidance report on the link between air pollution and climate change,
 mitigation strategies for short-lived climate pollutants and their incorporation into regional planning processes. 2021;(2500238039).

3. Acosta Santos MC. Formulation of a Modeling Protocol for Dispersion of Atmospheric Pollutants By Means of a Mathematical Model, Gaussian Model. 2021.



4. Núñez V, Rodríguez R, Gómez L, Herrera I, Morales M. Influence on air quality of sulfur dioxide emissions from fixed agricultural sources in Villa Clara. 2019; Available from: http://cagricola.uclv. edu.cu

5. Córdova Mendoza P, Barrios Mendoza TO, Córdova Barrios IC. First characterization of pollutant emissions and air quality in Ica, Peru. Rev Cuba Química [Internet]. 2021;33(1):138–53. Available from: file:///C:/Users/Godoy/Desktop/Primera caracterización de emisiones contaminantes y calidad del aire en Ica.pdf

6. Sandoval Menses JX. Evaluation of the concentration of particulate matter (PM 2.5) and its relationship with respiratory diseases in the municipality of Sogamoso-Boyacá. 2020;

7. Ministerio del Medio Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible. Res 2254 De 2017. 2017. p. 11.

8. Vidal Daza OA, Perez Vidal A. Estimation of Dilution of Atmospheric Contaminants from Paper Factory Using the AERMOD Model. Ingeniería. 2017.

9. Frías López A, Barcia Sardiñas S. Atmospheric emissions inventory from main fixed source of Cienfuegos city. Rev Cuba Meteorol [Internet]. 2019;25. Available from: http://opn.to/a/LRird

10. Alcaldia de SaOperativos de control de emisiones atmosféricas generadas por fuentes fijas (chimeneas) en el area urbana del municipio de Santiago de Calintiago de Cali. Operations to control atmospheric emissions generated by fixed sources (chimneys) in the urban area of the municipality of Santiago de Cali. 1999.

11. Galvis Morales K, Torres García TM. Comparative analysis of PM2.5 concentrations in air quality monitoring stations in Bogota, Medellin and Cali, during the pre-pandemic and pandemic period August 2019 August 2020. 2020.

12. DAGMA. Monthly Bulletin of Air Quality and Environmental Noise of Santiago de Cali. 2019;1–34.

13. Intriago Panchano AJ, Gomez Andrade CX. Business plan on the import and sale of additives for petroleum products in the industrial sector. 2020.

14. Ecopetrol. Natural Gas. New York Times. 2020.

15. Alcaldia de Santiago de Cali. Operations to control atmospheric emissions generated by fixed sources (chimneys) in the urban area of the municipality of Santiago de Cali. 1999.

16. EPA. Air Quality Dispersion Modeling [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2023 September 30]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/scram/air-quality-dispersion-modeling-preferred-and-recommended-models#aermod

17. Silva A, Arcos D. Aplicación del programa AERMOD para modelar dispersión de PM10 emitido por equipos de calefacción a leña en la ciudad de Constitución. Obras y Proy. 2011;(9):4–10.

18. Ecopetrol. Diésel. 2021

19. SRTM30 [Internet]. [cited 2023 November 8]. Available from: https://icesat.gsfc.nasa.gov/ icesat/tools/SRTM30_Documentation.html



20. Ecopetrol. Fuel quality. [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 November 8]. Available from: https://www.ecopetrol.com.co/wps/portal/Home/sostecnibilidad/ambiental/aire-limpio/calidad-combustibles

21. Rangel Jiménez AE, Portilla Salazar CJ. The process of substitution of heavy fuels by natural gas in the industrial sector of Valle del Cauca and Cauca - Colombia 2004-2012. Apunt del Cenes. 2016;35(61):237–66.

Annex 1.

Guide to modeling using Aermod View software

As a first step, the coordinates of Santiago de Cali are X: 330866.7 Y: 380055.41 UTM 18 Zone, and the radius of the domain is 25.00 Km.

A grid of receptors was established as follows to estimate the field of concentrations.

	esian Grid Receptor Network			
Receptor Options Network I	UCART1			Actions -
Receptor summary Terrain Options (Elevated)		X Axis	Y Axis	
Uniform Cartesian Non-Uniform Cartesian	SW Coordinates [m]: C	306043,40	354064,56	
Uniform Polar Non-Uniform Polar	Center Coordinates [m]: 📀	331053,40	379074,56	Source
Muti-Tier	No. of Points:	42	42	
Nested Discrete Receptors	Spacing [m]:	1220	1220	
Discrete Cartesian Discrete Polar	Length [m]:	50020,00	50020,00	
Discrete ARC Fenceline Cart. Plant Boundary Plant Boundary	Terrain Elevations #	Receptors: 1764	Flagpole Heig	phts
 Fenceline Grid 	List 🗙 🔇	< <u>1</u> 8	▶ ▶] <u>N</u> ew	

The coordinates of each of the industries were identified with their respective elevation and height.

# Active	Building Name	Description	Elevation [m]	Tier Height [m]
1 🔽	н		747,15	15,00
2 🗸	12		816,38	44,80

The criteria for pollutants to be modeled, in this case, SO_2 , was established at 1 hour and 24 hours in urban areas. In addition, we proceed to add each of the emission sources, taking into account the parameters obtained through isokinetic sampling if the sources exist or if they are projected to be established in the design of chimneys and SO_2 emissions were made using the emission factors of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (AP-42).





AERMOD	Pollutant					Source Base	e Elevation		A
	Туре:	S02			-				impor
Source Summary Building Downwash						Unit: Meter	·s 🗾		📤 Expo
Gas & Particle Data	Source Su	ummary							
Background Concentrations urce Options		Source	•	Source Type	X Coord. [m]	Y Coord. [m]	Base Elevation	Release Height [m]	Descriptio
Source Groups	1	CAL1	P	OINT	331909,52	383386,48	746,8	15	
Urban Groups	2 (CAL2	P	OINT	329243,78	381987,57	815,83	44,8	
Emission Output Unit ix to NO2 Options In-Stack NO2 / NOx Ratios OLM Groups (OLM) PSD Groups (PVMRM)									
Enssion Output Unit * 1 In NO2 Options In-Stack IRO2 / NOx Ratios OLM Groups (OLM) PSD Groups (PMI/RH)									

The coordinates of each of the discrete receptors are added.

Receptor Pathway Model: AERMOD	Die	screte (Cartesian Rec	eptors for EV	ALFILE Output					×
Receptor Options		Unique	ARCID						Actions	•
Receptor Summary Terrain Options (Elevated) Grids		No.	X - Coord. [m]	Y - Coord. [m]	Terrain Elevations	Hill Heights	Receptor Group ID			
Uniform Cartesian		1	330288.84	381999.86	775.69	1916	ARCREC			
 Non-Uniform Cartesian 	-	2	330411,83	383040,39	782,12	1967	ARCREC			
Uniform Polar		3	332512,31	382040,27	735,43	735,43	ARCREC			
Non-Uniform Polar Multi Tiar	Þ	4	331876,62	383500,37	747,66	1935	ARCREC			
Juscie Parl Juscie Parl Juscie Parl Tenceine Cart Pant Boundary Polar Plant Boundary Fenceine Grid					Delete <u>A</u> ll	×	4 4 <u>N</u> ew			
Help							Serevi	ous Next 🔉	Ck	ose

The sources are grouped as follows, considering a population of 1 000 000 inhabitants.

idel: AERMOD V	Urban Groups O Single Urban Group (AL	L Sou	irces)	OUs	ier - Defined U	rban Groups			
Source Parameters Source Summary Building Downwash Gas & Particle Data Background Concentrations Source Options Source Options	New X	Search Using: O All Fields Text to Search: Any Word Starting V					⊖ Selected Field	Selected Field With ✓ (約) Show All	
	Groan Stopps		#	Source /	Туре	In Group(s)	Descript	ion	
Urban Groups		Þ	1	CAL1	POINT				
 Variable Emissions 			2	CAL2	POINT				
IOX to NO2 Options	Remove >	G	Add						
	Population: 1000000	Na	ime (Op	tional):					
	Roughness Length (Optional)	0	1.0 [m]					Tin	

If it is successful, the software's BPIP is run, and the respective process is continued, which adds the meteorology of the year 2022, obtained from WRF from January 1 to December 31, and its topography, which in this case is GTOPO30/SRTM30. Finally, the model is run to obtain the modeling results.

I: AERMOD 🗸	Surface Met Data						
Met File Options Met Input Data Data Period Mind Ontare	Start Date:	22 01 01 01 End Date: 22 12 31 24	Multi-Year				
	File: C:\Users\lenovo\Dov	vnloads\PN000\PN000.sfc	🥑 🙆 🗃 🗶				
	Version: 15181 CCVR_Sub TEMP_Sub	15181 CCVR_Sub TEMP_Sub					
Vind Speed Categories	Profile Met Data						
ault Options	Start Date:	22 01 01 01 End Date: 22 12 31 24	Multi-Year				
mpling	File: C:\Users\lenovo\Dov	vnloads\PN000\PN000.pfl	🧳 🖪 🖻 🕷				
	Wind Speed	Wind Direction					
-	Wind Speeds are Vector Mean (Not Scalar Mean	ns)	[deg]				
	- Surface Station Primary Met Tower (Anemometer) -	Years)					
	Base Elevation (MSL): 10,0 [m] # Met Years:						
	Met Stations						
	Surface Station Upper Air Station On-Site Station	🕑 Using On-Site Data					
	Station No.: 99999 Year	2022					
	Station Name:		(Optional)				
	X Coord, [m]: (Optional)	Y Coord [m]	(Optional)				
	(optional)	r overa. Int.					

Annex 2.

The following link goes to the meteorological data for the year 2022.https://drive. google.com/drive/folders/10MiDfBc8SC72Gcs-Uxkf8GYTwnVqthEH?usp=sharing

