

ISSN 0123-3033 e- 2027-8284

# Evaluation of particulate matter in coal bottom ash and its possible ecotoxic effects: a preliminary study

# Evaluación de material particulado en cenizas de fondo de carbón y sus posibles efectos ecotóxicos: estudio preliminar

Lizeth A. Vallejo-Vallejo<sup>1</sup> Janneth Torres-Agredo<sup>1</sup> Carlos E. Agudelo-Morales<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de ingeniería y administración, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Palmira, Colombia. <sup>2</sup>Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Palmira, Laboratorio de microscopía e imagen.

## Abstract

Industrial activities that use coal as a source of energy generate considerable quantities of solid waste that affect the natural dynamics of the environment, as well as human health. Between the generated waste is coal bottom ash, which could generate adverse effects on human health, especially respiratory conditions. In this sense, a physical (particle size), chemical, and environmental characterization of bottom ashes generated from the combustion of coal in a Colombian industry. The techniques used for particle size analysis were scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and optical microscopy, where particulate matter of environmental interest PM10 y PM2.5 is observed. A chemical analysis was also carried out through the X-ray Fluorescence technique and thermogravimetric analysis to determine the unburned carbon content. Additionally, a bioassay was carried out with Vigna radiata seeds which indicated a reduction in the radicle, being more noticeable in a concentration of 50% to 100% ash. In the ashes studied, particles at the scale of microns and nanometers were found that could generate negative health effects due to inhalation; as well as the content of heavy metals and compounds of concern due to their potential risk to health and the environment.

### Resumen

Las actividades industriales que utilizan carbón como fuente de energía, generan cantidades considerables de residuos sólidos que afectan la dinámica natural del ambiente, así como a la salud humana. Entre los residuos generados se encuentran las cenizas de fondo de carbón, las cuales podrían generar efectos adversos en la salud humana, especialmente por afecciones respiratorias. En este sentido se presenta una caracterización física (tamaño de partícula), química y ambiental, de cenizas de fondo generadas a partir de la combustión del carbón en una industria colombiana. Las técnicas empleadas para el análisis de tamaño de partícula fueron microscopía electrónica de barrido (SEM), microscopía electrónica de transmisión (TEM) y microscopía óptica, donde se observa material particulado de interés ambiental PM10 y PM2.5. También se realizó un análisis químico a través de la técnica de Fluorescencia de Rayos X y un análisis termogravimétrico con el fin de determinar el contenido de carbón inquemado. Adicionalmente, se realizó un bioensa-yo con semillas de Vigna radiata el cual indicó una reducción de la radícula, siendo más notoria en concentración de 50 % al 100% de ceniza. En las cenizas estudiadas, se encontraron partículas a escala de micras y nanómetros que podrían generar efectos negativos en la salud por su inhalación; así como contenido de metales pesados y compuestos de cuidado por su potencial riesgo a la salud y al ambiente. **Keywords:** Coal bottom ash, particulate matter, Ecotoxicity, particle size.

**Palabras clave:** Ceniza de fondo de carbón, material particulado, Ecotoxicidad, tamaño de partícula.

#### How to cite?

Vallejo-Vallejo, L.A., Torres-Agredo, J., Agudelo-Morales, C.E. Evaluation of particulate matter in coal bottom ash and its possible ecotoxic effects: a preliminary study. Ingeniería y Competitividad, 2024, 26(1); e-21713113.

#### https://doi.org/10.25100/iyc.v26i1.13113

Recibido: 4-08-23 Aceptado: 06-03-24

**Correspondencia:** jtorresa@unal.edu.co

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike4.0 International License.



Conflict of interest: none declared



#### Why was it carried out?

The investigation was carried out due to the concern we had within the research group about the presence of particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) in the coal bottom ash waste of the study. This is because we observe that workers in industries and locations near companies are frequently in contact with this type of waste.

#### What were the most relevant results?

The presence of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10) was observe from Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) techniques. In addition, interesting results were presented in the bioassay, where radicle growth was observed in different proportions of addition of coal bottom ash residue.

#### What do these results provide?

Contributed to the training of an Environmental Engineering student in the use of different microscopy techniques for the analysis of industrial waste. The research landscape of this type of waste in applications such as agriculture is also expanding. Additionally, research will be developed with the region's industry.

#### **Graphical Abstract**



#### Introduction

Different industrial sectors in the world generate impacts on the environment due to the use of coal as an energy source. Coal combustion has increased the presence of thick, fine, and ultrafine particles suspended in the air, with a relationship existing between morbidity and mortality of people due to exposure to contamination from these materials (1, 2). In developing countries, the brick industry is one of the main sources of pollution due to the use of coal in its production processes (3). The health risks to people exposed to this type of activity have been demonstrated (2, 4, 5, 6). Another important aspect is that ash waste is destined for outdoor landfills, becoming a danger to public health and the environment (7). Ash waste is not included in the list of hazardous waste in many regions, but its final disposal must be carried out with care due to the content of heavy metals (8). In this way, knowing about the effects produced by coal combustion waste is important for its management and regulation; in this way, people's health can be safeguarded, as well as protecting the environment.

On the other hand, brick manufacturing can be carried out in intermittent and continuous kilns (9). The fuel used in this type of oven is coal due to its high energy generation potential that is released through combustion (5). For the year 2021, British Petroleum (BP) published global energy statistics, where coal represents more than 35.1% of global energy (10). It is estimated that globally, coal combustion generates around 1000 tons of ash per year, of which less than 50% is recycled (11). It is worth mentioning that coal is a product of interactions of organic and inorganic materials in the upper layers of the earth until it becomes a deposit of peat related to the presence of components toxic to human health (12). The three-dimensional structure of carbon is made up of hydrocarbons and aromatic compounds connected by alkyl bridges, ether, and thioether bonds; these compounds in turn, through radical cyclization or condensation reactions, form polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (13). Where these are absorbed in ashes, which when emitted in gases or particles can cause pollution in the environment (14).

Among the residues from coal combustion, there is particulate matter (PM), with different physical, chemical, morphological, and biological characteristics (2). PM is classified into sub-micrometric particles with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 1 micron and micrometric particles with an aerodynamic diameter greater than 1 micron and less than 10 microns (15). In this sense, the most common waste is bottom ash (CFC) and fly ash (CVC) rich in minerals, heavy metals such as As, Pb, Hg, Cd, Cr, Sb, and radioactive elements that are preserved in the combustion of carbon as they are indestructible elements in the process (5,7). The composition of ash is classified into two categories: organic and inorganic, the first classification is composed of unburned carbon, which is usually used as an indicator of incomplete combustion (16). The composition of CFCs is mostly silicates, aluminates, carbonates, metalloids, and heavy metals (17). Gallardo et al, (18) measured the surface area of CFC and CVC which is between 1.16 and 2.92 m<sup>2</sup> /g respectively; highlighting that small particles have a larger surface area; therefore CVCs tend to be more harmful to the environment and human health.

The coal combustion process also releases polluting gases, the main ones being COx, SOx, and NOx (1,5). Gas emissions generate effects on acid rain, the ozone layer, and the food network due to damage to plants and animals (3). CO and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions present the most adverse effects on the environment due to their contribution to global



warming (5). When inhaled, SOx destabilizes the heart rate, causing heart attacks, asphyxiation, cough and decreased lung activity, lung and skin cancer. Likewise, NOx is very corrosive and oxidizing. Contact with this gas causes a decrease in lung function, asthma, respiratory failure, and structural DNA changes (7).  $CO_2$  is the most harmful emission from brick kilns, followed by PM,  $CO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ , fluoride compounds, and carcinogenic dioxins (3).

This study aims at carrying out a characterization of CFCs generated in a Colombian brick industry, focusing on the evaluation of particle size through microscopy techniques; complemented with a brief ecotoxicity study. This is to analyze the possible impacts on human health and its ecotoxic effects. In this sense, optical microscopy, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) techniques were used for physical characterization. A chemical, mineralogical, and loss due to ignition analysis was also carried out. Finally, phytotoxicity was evaluated with a germination test.

#### Methodology

To study the characteristics of bottom ash, samples were taken from a continuous tunnel kiln in a Colombian brick industry. In this case, the ashes come from the burning of bituminous coal, as a source of energy, for firing clay bricks at a temperature of 850 °C. Three ash samples CFC1, CFC 2, and CFC3 were collected in different weeks of production, at two-week intervals.

#### Characterization of bottom ash

For particle size analysis, the optical microscopy technique was used with the Zeiss Axio Lab. A1 equipment; Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with the Jeol Jem-1011 equipment and TESCAN VEGA fourth generation Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with a tungsten filament electron source. The morphology of the ashes was also observed with a Jeol 5000 scanning electron microscope (SEM).

The preparation of samples in optical microscopy was as follows: three ash samples (CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3) were placed individually on the slides and covered with coverslips. The samples were observed with the 5x, 10x, 20x, and 40x objectives, and ten photographs were taken with the ZEISS 3.1 software for each objective with the scales of 200  $\mu$ m, 100  $\mu$ m, 50  $\mu$ m, and 20  $\mu$ m respectively. The analysis of the photographs was carried out with the ImageJ 1.53 k software in which the diameter was measured. This program provided the data table to make the histogram of the number of particles with their corresponding diameters.

For particle size analysis in TEM, ethanol suspensions of the three ashes studied were used. The function of the suspension is to break up the aggregates to form individual particles for analysis under the microscope since most minerals are insoluble in alcohol (19, 20). The suspensions were stirred for one minute, and then with the pipette they were placed on copper grids and allowed to evaporate. With the Digital Micrograph 3.5-Gatan-Inc software, eight photographs were taken in TIFF format with dimensions of 3072x3072 pixels and 72 dpi at 32 bits.

Regarding the preparation of samples in SEM, it was necessary to dry the samples in a Pro-Jet Finisher oven at 50 °C for 24 hours. Subsequently, with the Cressington 108 Auto metalizing equipment, the samples were covered with a thin layer of gold to generate



electric conductivity, with an exposure of 60 s. The samples were observed on the TESCAN VEGA microscope at the magnifications, 200x, 500x, and 1,000x and on the Jeol 5000 microscope at the magnifications 27x, 1000x. Chemical analysis was determined by X-ray fluorescence with a MagisPro PW-2440 Philips X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (WDXRF) incorporated with a Rhodium tube, with a maximum power of 4 KW.

#### Ecotoxicity test

Toxicity was assessed for sample CFC2 with a Vigna radiata (mung bean or green soybean) germination test. This sample was selected according to the chemical composition, presented later, which shows a higher content of heavy metals. The test used was direct contact with the methodology proposed by (21). The tests were carried out in triplicate Petri dishes with the following concentrations of solid ash: 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50%, and 100% (% w/w) which were mixed with commercial soil (Figure 1). The Petri dishes were filled with up to 4 g of mixture. 1200 µL of distilled water was also used for the soil mixtures and samples at 100% ash concentration. Ten vigna radiata seeds were placed on the surface of each sample. The Petri dishes were covered and allowed to germinate in the dark for 72 hours, with a temperature of 25°C and an average humidity of 68%. Measurement of pH was also carried out with the Thermo Scientific Orion Star A211 equipment and conductivity with the Sper Scientific Benchtop Meter equipment. The toxicity analysis parameters included the percentage of seed germination, root elongation, and germination index, used by the author Jain (22). The calculations were carried out according to equations 1, 2, and 3 respectively. The significant effects in the different ash concentrations were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) comparison; in addition, InfoStat statistical software was used for data analysis.

% seed germination = 
$$\frac{N^{\circ} \text{ of germinated seeds in treatment}}{N^{\circ} \text{ of germinated seeds in control}} \times 100$$
 (1)  
% of root elongation =  $\frac{\text{Root length in treatment}}{\text{Root length in control}} \times 100$  (2)

$$Germination \ index = \frac{\% \ seed \ germination \ \times \ root \ elongation}{100}$$
(3)



Figure 1. Direct contact test using coal bottom ash for germination of Vigna radiata at 48 hours. Source: Authors.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Particle size

Interquartile analysis of samples CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3 for optical microscopy showed that 25% of the particles have diameters less than 61 µm, 16 µm, and 149 µm respectively. 75% of the particles have diameters less than 254 µm for CFC1 and CFC2 and less than 292 µm for the CFC3 sample. 50% of the particles have diameters less than 158 µm, 119 µm, and 212 µm for CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3 respectively. The particles with the most frequent diameters are in the range of 4 to 69 µm for CFC1 (see Figure 2a), between 5 to 87 µm for CFC2 (see Figure 2b), for the CFC3 sample between 186 to 273 µm (see Figure 2c). In this way, PM10 content is evident in the CFC1 and CFC2 samples. However, in the CFC3 sample, higher sizes are reported, which may be due to variability in the batch of coal used (bituminous coal) and possibly to an incomplete burning, as reported later with the loss to fire. Some authors have reported that the presence of PM10 in coal combustion is associated with fertility problems in both the female and male reproductive systems and problems in pregnancy (2).



# Figure 2. (a) particle size in optical microscopy; CFC1 x10 scale 100 $\mu$ m, (b) CFC2 x10 scale 100 $\mu$ m, (c) CFC3 x10 scale 100 $\mu$ m. Source: authors

The particle sizes analyzed by TEM indicated that 25% of the particles have diameters less than 17 nm, 75% less than 635 nm, and 50% less than 234 nm, the most frequent diameters are between 5 and 423 nm (see Figure 3). This technique indicated  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  particulate matter content. Furthermore, the PM content of less than 2.5 µm is evident, with 50% of the data being less than 234 nm (2.34 µm). These nanomaterials can be reactive because they accumulate a large amount of adsorbates per unit surface; inhaling air with this type of particle composed of transition metals can generate greater health risks (19). Any mineral material with a unit size <100 nm is more active in the environment due to bio adsorption; in the case of carbon particles, this characteristic allows them to encapsulate several dangerous elements (1). The lower the particle size and the higher the concentration of heavy metals, the toxicity increases (18).



On the other hand, coal cleaning waste is especially composed of Al, Si, K, O, and toxic elements such as As, Cd, Cr, Fe, Hg, and Pb with sizes from 5 to 100 nm, which the smaller they are can easily infiltrate the respiratory system, the nervous system and the blood, generating cellular damage, genetic mutations, among others, both in humans and animals (23).



Figure 3. Particle size CFC1, CFC2 and CFC3 in TEM, x3000 scale 2 µm. Source: authors

The inter-quartile analysis for SEM showed 25% of particles with diameters less than 15  $\mu$ m, 3  $\mu$ m, and 12  $\mu$ m respectively, 75% of the particles with 38  $\mu$ m, 7  $\mu$ m, 29  $\mu$ m and 50% with 26  $\mu$ m, 5  $\mu$ m, 20  $\mu$ m, for CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3 respectively. The most frequent particle sizes in SEM are in the range of 4 to 12  $\mu$ m for CFC1 (Figure 4a), for CFC2 between 2 to 11  $\mu$ m (Figure 4b), and for CFC3 between 12 to 20  $\mu$ m (Figure 4c). The content of PM10 in CFC1 and CFC2 as well as PM<sub>2.5</sub> in CFC2 is confirmed. A study carried out by Faria et al. (24) on exposure to PM2.5 of children in public spaces, in the city of Lisbon, found values of 19  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>; pollution that was associated with coal combustion processes at an industrial level. This value exceeds the daily value of the World Health Organization (WHO) of 15  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (25).



Figure 4. Particle size (a) CFC1 x200 scale 200  $\mu m$ , (b) CFC2 x1000 scale 100  $\mu m$ , (c) CFC3 x500 scale 100  $\mu m$ . Source: authors



#### Morphology of CFCs

Some images taken in the SEM microscope are shown in Figure 5; where the CFC3 sample presented an irregular granular morphology (Figure 5a). Likewise, irregular shapes attached to fine particles were observed for CFC2 (Figure 5b). Regarding CFC1 ash, irregular particles along with a porous spherical shape were observed for CFC1 (Figure 5c). Furthermore, irregular hexagon structures were observed (Figure 5d).

Coal combustion processes give rise to different morphologies (15). In this sense, CFCs are characterized by being granular with an irregular hexagon structure and visibly porous (13, 26 - 28). The morphology of unburned carbon in CFC appears as irregular shapes for the same technique (29). Silva & Da Boit (19) studied CFCs using SEM and found concentrations of ultrafine spherical particles and nanoparticles smaller than 50 nm, rich in silica crystals and predominance of fine quartz grains; where exposure to these crystals is related to the incidence of lung cancer.



Figure 5. SEM images (a) CFC3 x27, (b) CFC2 x1000 (c) CFC1 x1000, (d) CFC1 x1000. Source: authors

#### Chemical and Elemental Composition

The chemical composition of the ashes of the study is presented in Table 1, where it is observed that the ashes are mainly composed of  $SiO_2$ ,  $Al_2O_3$  y  $Fe_3O_4$ , in low quantities they present CaO, MgO,  $Na_2O_5$ ,  $SO_3$ , and in smaller quantities metal oxides such as MnO,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  as well as elements of Ni, Sr and toxic metals such as Pb and Zn of concern for human health (15, 27, 30- 33). Some authors mention that coal ash from brick manufacturing is extremely toxic to human health and that cancer can be contracted from exposure to elements such as chromium (34).

Another even more worrying effect is DNA damage related to heavy metals, such as Al and Si (2). Coal ash is also composed of rare earth elements and yttrium (Y) present only in inorganic components such as amorphous silica, and lesser quantities in fly ash spinel. However, in this study, the presence of Y was also found in the ashes studied (<u>16</u>).

Characteristics			
(% p/p))	CFC 1	CFC 2	CFC 3
SiO <sub>2</sub>	59.04	63.12	59.86
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	22	24.15	24.24
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8.64	4.76	7.16
SO <sub>3</sub>	3.8	1.58	2.76
CaO	1.77	1.85	1.39
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.32	1.3	1.3
$P_2O_5$	1.12	1.05	1.01
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.92	0.82	1.11
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.41	0.47	0.38
MgO	0.35	0.39	0.33
Cr	0.18	0.02	0.03
Ва	0.13	0.15	0.15
MnO	0.03	0.02	0.01
V	0.02	0.03	0.02
Cu	0.01	0.02	0.02
Pb	0.01	97 ppm	-
Zn	76 ppm	51 ppm	46 ppm
Se	-	28 ppm	-
Sr	0,11	0,1	0,12
Ce	0,06	0,09	0,04
Υ	81 ppm	57 ppm	60 ppm
Loss On Ignition (LOI, %)	22.81	16.65	30.62

Table 1. Characteristics of the bottom ash studied.

Source: authors

Pb was found in the CF2 sample, this metal in the environment is in its oxidation state. Human exposure to this element causes damage to the kidneys, heart, and nervous system, risk of delay in babies, and even abortions (5).

The presence of metals in CFCs is due to their high melting points, therefore they do not volatilize and cause incomplete combustion (35). The problem is further aggravated because the body cannot digest the carbon components, causing bioaccumulation, prolonging the pro-inflammatory condition that leads to cell death, followed by the blood coagulation process that triggers cardiovascular accidents such as thrombosis and arteriosclerosis (2). Ti was also found in the CFC waste from the study, according to studies by Silva et al. (36) Ti in coal ash can be found in more than 30 amorphous phases in nanometer sizes, which can mix with soil and form urban dust. This element presents a different reactivity with the potential for carcinogenic risk, which leads to particular behaviors, especially in the health of children who frequent public spaces.

Mondal et al. (34) studied the bioavailable fractions in soils near brick kilns, the highest fractions were for Mn, Zn, Pb, and Cu and the lowest for Cd, and Cr; the soils were moderately acidic, which was confirmed by the calculation of the contamination index (PI), which classified the study area as extremely contaminated. Another index was the ecological risk index (ERI), which resulted in extreme ecological risk.

The unburned carbon content was also measured with the loss on ignition (LOI), for which 22.81%, 16.65%, and 30.62% were obtained (see Table 1) for CFC 1, CFC 2, and CFC 3 respectively. Similar results have been reported by other authors (27, 29), which is attributed to proportions of unburned coal; where the latter has been reported with high levels of PHA (13). Concerning toxicity, it has been found that one of the most frequent occupational risks is the risk of contracting cancer due to exposure to byproducts of coal combustion, due to the content of PHA and radioactive natural radionuclides, either by ingestion or dermal contact (4, 37).

#### Ecotoxicity test

The phytotoxicity of commercial soil amended with the CF2 ash sample was studied in Vigna radiata. According to what is reported in Table 1, this ash contains metals such as Cr, Ba, V, Cu, Zn, Sr, Ce, Y, and Pb. Studies report that ashes from coal combustion have a high adsorption capacity, which allows them to encapsulate several dangerous elements. This characteristic influences the bioavailability of metals and the growth of plants (1).

Figure 6 shows images of radicle growth at concentrations of 0, 50, and 100%; where elongation showed a dose-response of inhibition at higher concentrations, with the 50% concentration being the one with the lowest radicle elongation followed by the 100% ash concentration. However, the ANOVA analysis showed no significant differences between all levels of ash concentration. Other ecotoxicological studies report that elongation for Vigna radiata is inhibited at higher CFC concentrations, possibly by division of apical root meristematic cells. It has also been found that the morphology of the roots was also indicative of toxicity, due to their curved, thin, fragile, and sticky root characteristics, as seen in Figure 6b, c (22).





Figure 6. (a) radicle in soil without ash, (b) radicle in soil in 50% ash concentration., (c) radicle in soil in 100% ash concentration. Source: authors

The percentage of radicle reduction was more noticeable in the concentration of 50% to 100% ash (see Figure 7). The germination rate is lower at ash concentrations of 50%, however, at a concentration of 100% there is a little more germination (see Figure 8).

The phytotoxicity of coal ash also depends on soil properties, with sandy soils being more susceptible to a phytotoxic response due to their low chemical buffering compared to clay soil (11). The toxicity of coal ash has also been studied in Brassica rapa (Pakchoi) cultivation, where contamination such as Pb, Cr, and Cu was shown in the soil, a characteristic of care for the food chain is that the edible parts of the plant presented higher heavy metal content than roots (38).



Figure 7. Radicle reduction of Vigna radiata seeds, including the control. Source: authors



Figure 8. The germination rate of Vigna radiata seeds, including the control. Source: authors

Samples CF1 and CF2 presented an alkaline pH of 7.03-7.06 in their order, and sample CF3 showed a slightly acidic PH of 6.35. This parameter, together with the content of soluble salts in the coal byproducts, has growth inhibition effects on plants. Therefore, care must be taken with the lime requirements in the soil (39). Alkaline pH can generate low bioavailability of essential nutrients, for this reason, growth and biomass decrease (11). Alkaline pH can also reduce the bioavailability of metals (21). An acidic pH in coal ash can lead to total organic carbon (TOC) and S in the source coal (29). The literature reports on the application of ash as a soil amendment, however, this should not be generalized because investigations of the physical and chemical characteristics of the site need to be carried out before use.

Regarding the conductivity values for CF1, CF2, and CF3, they were 215, 230, and 200  $\mu$ S/cm respectively. The conductivity behavior has been studied in coal ash, where neutral reactions were obtained as a result of greater electrical conductivity, also indicative of the abundance of soluble salts of basic cations such as Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, and K + among others (29). Consequently, the alkaline behavior of CF1 and CF2 may be due to high conductivity values, however, the slightly acidic pH of sample CF3 may be due to the TOC and S content in the original coal, which tends to develop acidity.

#### Conclusions

The analysis of coal bottom ash through different microscopy techniques was able to reveal the content of particulate matter of environmental interest PM10 and PM2.5 as well as its granular and irregular morphology with some spherical particles. Exposure to this type of particle can cause adverse effects on human health, especially respiratory conditions.

The difference in physicochemical properties in the three samples may be due to the coal combustion conditions. For this reason, CFCs generated in different industrial processes can differ substantially in their characteristics, which is why it is necessary to carry out characterization studies that allow control for the elimination of these materials, thus reducing the environmental impact and public health, especially in communities of lower resources.

Ingeniería y Competitividad, 2024 vol 26(1) e-21713113/ enero-abril

The parameters of the toxicity test for CF2 showed radicle reduction of Vigna radiata at higher ash concentrations, the germination index decreases up to 50% ash concentration but increases slightly for 100% ash concentration. Therefore, this sample could be compatible with agricultural use. However, prolonged use of these materials can affect soil characteristics such as acidification and deposition of elements.

It is recommended to carry out future research that contributes to delving deeper into the issue of the use of ashes for agricultural applications, where the appropriate concentration is standardized in different types of soil for agricultural use. In that sense, this industrial waste would be used, since it is currently not being used in its entirety.

#### References

- 1. Saikia BK, Saikia J, Rabha S, Silva LFO, Finkelman R. Ambient nanoparticles/nano minerals and hazardous elements from coal combustion activity: Implications on energy challenges and health hazards. Geosci Front. 2018;9(3):863–75.
- 2. Gasparotto J, Da Boit Martinello K. Coal as an energy source and its impacts on human health. Energy Geosci. 2021;2(2):113–20.
- 3. Khan MW, Ali Y, De Felice F, Salman A, Petrillo A. Impact of brick kilns industry on the environment and human health in Pakistan. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2019;678:383–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.369
- 4. Kamal A, Malik RN, Martellini T, Cincinelli A. Cancer risk evaluation of brick kiln workers exposed to dust bound PAHs in Punjab province (Pakistan). Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2014;493:562–70. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2014.05.140
- 5. Munawer ME. Human health and environmental impacts of coal combustion and post-combustion wastes. J Sustain Min [Internet]. 2018;17(2):87–96. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsm.2017.12.007
- 6. Zierold KM, Sears CG. Community Views About the Health and Exposure of Children Living Near a Coal Ash Storage Site. J Community Health. 2015;40(2):357–63.
- 7. Hendryx M, Zullig KJ, Luo J. Impacts of coal use on health. Annu Rev Public Health. 2019;41:397–415.
- 8. Dou X, Ren F, Nguyen MQ, Ahamed A, Yin K, Chan WP, et al. Review of MSWI bottom ash utilization from perspectives of collective characterization, treatment, and existing application. Renew Sustain Energy Rev [Internet]. 2017;79(May 2016):24–38. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.044
- 9. Rajarathnam U, Athalye V, Ragavan S, Maithel S, Lalchandani D, Kumar S, et al. Assessment of air pollutant emissions from brick kilns. Atmos Environ [Internet]. 2014;98:549–53. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.075
- 10. Petroleum B. Statistical Review of World Energy globally consistent data on world energy markets, and authoritative publications in the field of energy. BP Energy Outlook 2021. 2021;70:8–20.
- Mtisi M, Gwenzi W. Evaluation of the phytotoxicity of coal ash on lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) germination, growth and metal uptake. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf [Internet]. 2019;170(June 2018):750–62. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecoenv.2018.12.047
- 12. Dai S, Bechtel A, Eble CF, Flores RM, French D, Graham IT, et al. Recognition of peat depositional environments in coal: A review. Int J Coal Geol [Internet].

Ingeniería y Competitividad, 2024 vol 26(1) e-21713113/ enero-abril

2020;219(January):103383. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. coal.2019.103383

- 13. Ruwei W, Jiamei Z, Jingjing L, Liu G. Levels and patterns of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in coal-fired power plant bottom ash and Fly ash from Huainan, China. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 2013;65(2):193–202.
- 14. Wild SR, Mitchell DJ, Yelland CM, Jones KC. Arrested municipal solid waste incinerator fly ash as a source of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) to the environment. Waste Manag Res. 1992;10(1):99–111.
- 15. Bai H, Ma Y, Ai X, Li H, Liu P, Cang D. Chemical and morphological properties of particulate matter generated from coal-fired circulating fluidized bed boiler. Proc 3rd Int Conf Meas Technol Mechatronics Autom ICMTMA 2011. 2011;1:708–11.
- 16. Besari DAA, Anggara F, Rosita W, Petrus HTBM. Characterization and mode of occurrence of rare earth elements and yttrium in fly and bottom ash from coalfired power plants in Java, Indonesia. Int J Coal Sci Technol [Internet]. 2022;9(1). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40789-022-00476-2
- 17. Jayaranjan MLD, van Hullebusch ED, Annachhatre AP. Reuse options for coal-fired power plant bottom ash and fly ash. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol. 2014;13(4):467–86.
- 18. Gallardo S, Van Hullebusch ED, Pangayao D, Salido BM, Ronquillo R. Chemical, Leaching, and Toxicity Characteristics of Coal Ashes from Circulating Fluidized Bed of a Philippine Coal-Fired Power Plant. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2015;226(9).
- Silva LFO, Da Boit KM. Nanominerals and nanoparticles in feed coal and bottom ash: Implications for human health effects. Environ Monit Assess. 2011;174(1– 4):187–97.
- 20. Gieré R, Blackford M, Smith K. TEM study of PM2.5 emitted from coal and tire combustion in a thermal power station. Environ Sci Technol. 2006;40(20):6235–40.
- 21. Ribé V, Nehrenheim E, Odlare M. Assessment of mobility and bioavailability of contaminants in MSW incineration ash with aquatic and terrestrial bioassays. Waste Manag. 2014;34(10):1871–6.
- 22. Jain N. Open Access Research Article Seeds of Vigna radiata as a Model to Study the Ecotoxicity Potential of Abstract : 2 . Materials and Methods : 2015;4(1):1–6.
- 23. Oliveira MLS, Da Boit K, Schneider IL, Teixeira EC, Crissien Borrero TJ, Silva LFO. Study of coal cleaning rejects by FIB and sample preparation for HR-TEM: Mineral surface chemistry and nanoparticle-aggregation control for health studies. J Clean Prod. 2018;188:662–9.
- 24. Faria T, Cunha-Lopes I, Pilou M, Housiadas C, Querol X, Alves C, et al. Children's exposure to size-fractioned particulate matter: Chemical composition and internal dose. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2022;823:153745. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153745
- 25. World Health Organization. WHO global air quality guidelines. Coast Estuar Process. 2021;1–360.
- 26. Kalaw ME, Culaba A, Hinode H, Kurniawan W, Gallardo S, Promentilla MA. Optimizing and characterizing geopolymers from a ternary blend of Philippine coal fly ash, coal bottom ash, and rice hull ash. Materials (Basel). 2016;9(7).
- Fidanchevski E, Angjusheva B, Jovanov V, Murtanovski P, Vladiceska L, Stamatovska N, et al. Technical and radiological characterization of fly ash and bottom ash from thermal power plant. J Radioanal Nucl Chem [Internet]. 2021;330(3):685–94. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-021-07980-w
- 28. Rafieizonooz M, Khankhaje E, Rezania S. Assessment of environmental and chemical properties of coal ashes including fly ash and bottom ash, and coal ash



concrete. J Build Eng [Internet]. 2022;49(November 2021):104040. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2022.104040

- 29. Goswami L, Raul P, Sahariah B, Bhattacharyya P, Bhattacharya SS. Characterization and risk evaluation of tea industry coal ash for environmental suitability. Clean -Soil, Air, Water. 2014;42(10):1470–6.
- 30. Hussain M, Tufa LD, Yusup S, Zabiri H. Characterization of coal bottom ash &its potential to be used as a catalyst in biomass gasification. Mater Today Proc. 2019;16:1886–93.
- 31. Tiwari M, Sahu SK, Bhangare RC, Ajmal PY, Pandit GG. Elemental characterization of coal, fly ash, and bottom ash using an energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence technique. Appl Radiat Isot [Internet]. 2014;90:53–7. Available from: http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2014.03.002
- 32. Baite E, Messan A, Hannawi K, Tsobnang F, Prince W. Physical and transfer properties of mortar containing coal bottom ash aggregates from Tefereyre (Niger). Constr Build Mater [Internet]. 2016;125:919–26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.08.117
- 33. Srikanth Š, Raju GJŃ. Quantitative Study of Trace Elements in Coal and Coal Related Ashes using PIXE. J Geol Soc India. 2019;94(5):533–7.
- 34. Mondal A, Das S, Sah RK, Bhattacharyya P, Bhattacharya SS. Environmental footprints of brick kiln bottom ashes: Geostatistical approach for assessment of metal toxicity. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2017;609:215–24. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.172
- 35. Itam Z, Beddu S, Mohammad D, Kamal NLM, Zainoodin MM, Syamsir A, et al. Extraction of metal oxides from coal bottom ash by carbon reduction and chemical leaching. Mater Today Proc [Internet]. 2019;17:727–35. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.06.356
- 36. Silva LFO, Hower JC, Dotto GL, Oliveira MLS, Pinto D. Titanium nanoparticles in sedimented dust aggregates from urban children's parks around coal ashes wastes. Fuel [Internet]. 2021;285(July 2020):119162. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.119162
- 37. Abedin MJ, Karim MR, Khandaker MU, Kamal M, Hossain S, Miah MHA, et al. Dispersion of radionuclides from coal-fired brick kilns and concomitant impact on human health and the environment. Radiat Phys Chem [Internet]. 2020;177(July):109165. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radphyschem.2020.109165
- 38. Sun W, Bai L, Ji H, Huo W, Huang Z, Liu K, et al. Environmental risk assessment of coal-ash-amended soil based on continuous planting of pakchoi. Am J Biochem Biotechnol. 2021;17(2):192–204.
- 39. Wright RJ, Codling EE, Stuczynski T, Siddaramappa R. Influence of soil-applied coal combustion by-products on growth and elemental composition of annual ryegrass. Environ Geochem Health. 1998;20(1):10–8.